

Criteria for Authorship at Peer-reviewed Journals –examples from five journals–

JAMA

Authorship Criteria and Contributions and Authorship Form. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content. One or more authors should take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, from inception to published article. Authorship credit should be based only on (1) substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; and (2) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and (3) final approval of the version to be published. Conditions 1, 2, and 3 must all be met.^{3,4}

All authors (ie, the corresponding author and each coauthor) must complete and submit an Authorship Form with signed statements on (1) authorship responsibility, criteria, and contributions; (2) financial disclosure, funding, and support; and (3) either copyright transfer or US federal employment.^{3(pp128-133)} In addition, authors are required to identify their contributions to the work described in the manuscript (see [Authorship Form](#)).

For reports of original data and systematic reviews, authors' specific contributions will be published in the Acknowledgment section (see Manuscript Preparation and Submission Requirements, [Acknowledgment Section](#)). The authors also must certify that the manuscript represents valid work and that neither this manuscript nor one with substantially similar content under their authorship has been published or is being considered for publication elsewhere (see also [Duplicate/Previous Publication or Submission](#)). Authors of manuscripts reporting original data or systematic reviews must provide an access to data statement from at least one named author, often the corresponding author (see also [Data Access and Responsibility](#)). If requested, authors should be prepared to provide the data or cooperate fully in obtaining and providing the data on which the manuscript is based for examination by the editors or their assignees.

Role of the Corresponding Author. The corresponding author (or coauthor designee) will serve on behalf of all coauthors as the primary correspondent with the editorial office during the submission and review process. If the manuscript is accepted, the corresponding author will review an edited typescript and proof, make decisions regarding release of information in the manuscript to the news media, federal agencies, or both, and will be identified as the corresponding author in the published article. The corresponding author is responsible for ensuring that the Acknowledgment section of the manuscript is complete. "Acknowledgment" is the general term for the list of contributions, credits, and other information included at the end of the text of a manuscript but before the references (see [Acknowledgment Section](#) for more details). The corresponding author must sign the Acknowledgment statement part of the [Authorship Form](#).

Group Authorship. If authorship is attributed to a group (either solely or in addition to 1 or more individual authors), all members of the group must meet the full criteria and requirements for authorship as described above.⁵ If that is not the case, a group must designate 1 or more individuals as authors or members of a writing group who meet full authorship criteria and requirements. Other group members who are not authors may be listed in an Acknowledgment.^{3(pp135-138)}

NATURE

Nature journals' authorship policy

The *Nature* journals do not require all authors of a research paper to sign the letter of submission, nor do they impose an order on the list of authors. Submission to a Nature journal is taken by the journal to mean that all the listed authors have agreed all of the contents. The corresponding (submitting) author is responsible for having ensured that this agreement has been reached, and for managing all communication between the journal and all co-authors, before and after publication. Any changes to the author list after submission, such as a change in the order of the authors, or the deletion or addition of authors, needs to be approved by a signed letter from every author.

Coauthor contributions

Authors are strongly encouraged to include a statement in the end notes to specify the actual contribution of each coauthor to the completed work. Nature journals allow two coauthors to be specified as having contributed equally to the work being described, but prefer authors to use the "author contributions" style for reader clarity. Examples of published "author contributions" statements [can be seen at this Nautilus posting](#)

Medical Education

2. Submission of manuscripts

Manuscripts should be prepared in accordance with the Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals (see <http://www.icmje.org/> or Medical Education 1999; 33:66-78).

Papers not correctly formatted will be returned to the authors for correction and resubmission.
....

Medical Education is committed to the Committee on Publication Ethics Code of Conduct.

.... (2) The identifying document (template available at <http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/medicaleducation>)

Authors **must not identify themselves or their institution in the submitted main manuscript file(s) for review**. A separate identifying document should be uploaded with the anonymous manuscript, giving the following information:

- (1) Correspondent's full address, institution and contact details
- (2) The names of all authors and details of the contribution each made to the work described in the paper
- (3) Details of any funding
- (4) Details of any acknowledgements
- (5) *and (6) are omitted in this handout.*

Academic Medicine

Authorship. All individuals designated as authors must qualify for authorship, and all who qualify as authors must be listed on the byline. Each author must have participated sufficiently in the work; any part of an article or research report that is essential to its main conclusions must be the responsibility of at least one author. All authors must be familiar enough with the entire manuscript to take public responsibility for the whole paper. The journal's general rule is to limit the number of authors for all articles to six. Specifically, *authorship credit for research reports* should be based only on substantial contributions to (1) conception and design, (2) analysis and interpretation of data, and (3) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content. Participation solely in the acquisition of funding or general supervision of the research group are not sufficient reason to claim authorship. *Authorship credit for all other types of articles* should be based solely on substantial contributions to drafting the article or revising it critically for intellectual content.

The editor may require authors to justify the assignment of authorship.

In cases of collective or group authorship (e.g., a committee or task force), each member of the group must qualify individually for authorship. If all members do not qualify, the article cannot be published under the group name. (In such cases, the authors who qualify for authorship may need to reform the group—for example, create a subcommittee or working group of the larger group.)

New England Journal of Medicine

On Authors and Contributors

It is the responsibility of every person listed as the author of an article published in the *Journal* to have contributed in a meaningful and identifiable way to the design, performance, analysis, and reporting of the work. The specific requirements for authorship promulgated by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), which have been adopted by many biomedical journals, are posted on its Web site at <http://www.icmje.org>. By extension of this reasoning, it is logical that those who meet the criteria for authorship not be excluded as authors. However, our current editorial policy limits to 12 the number of authors whose names can be printed under the title of an article.¹ With advances in medical research, investigators with a broader range of skills than were required in the past are often needed to take new ideas from the bench to the bedside and to conduct large clinical trials. For this reason, we are modifying our policy on the number of authors we will list. Beginning with this issue of the *Journal*, we will no longer place a limit on the number of authors listed under the title.

As in the past, our policy is that all persons listed as authors must meet the ICMJE requirements for authorship. In keeping with the tradition of scientific trust, we do not ask that the specific

contributions of individual authors be reported to us. It is instead the duty of the corresponding author to ensure that each of the authors listed meets the necessary criteria; before an article is published, we will require a written statement from the corresponding author to this effect. If we are concerned about the authorship of an article, we will discuss the matter with the corresponding author and, if necessary, request written documentation of authorship. The names of persons who have contributed substantially to a study but who do not fulfill the criteria for authorship will be listed in an appendix.

This change in policy applies to articles that contain original data, including Original Articles and Special Articles. In contrast, for review articles and editorials, in which we are seeking analysis and opinion from acknowledged experts in a field, we will, at our discretion, place limits on the number of authors.

We are indebted to the investigators whose research reports form the core of the *Journal* each week. Our change in policy will allow those who participate meaningfully in a research project to receive the credit they deserve.

Jeffrey M. Drazen, M.D.
Gregory D. Curfman, M.D.